# J.S. PECHULIS / LAND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, INC. 

|  | - $\quad$ Site | ement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 Notre Dame Street | Web: www.jsplds.com | Phone |
| Westfield, MA 01085 |  |  |

July 27, 2015
Robert Levesque
R. Levesque Associates, Inc.
\& Ecotec Environmental Associates
29 Broad Street
Westfield, MA 01086

RE: Proposed Redevelopment Project - Route 9, Haydenville (Williamsburg)
Dear Mr. Levesque:
J.S.Pechulis/Land Development Services, Inc. (JSP/LDS) has been retained to evaluate the traffic access plan for the proposed redevelopment project located at 142 Main Street (Route 9) in Haydenville, Massachusetts. Outlined below is the assessment of traffic access, trip generation, and driveway operations for the proposed project.

## Project Description

The project includes the redevelopment of a single 52,200 sf lot located on the southwest corner of the Main Street and Bridge Street intersection in Haydenville. The parcel is located in the Village Mixed zone and currently accommodates a standalone branch bank with drive thru windows and ATM service. The proposed project consists of razing the existing structure to make way for a standalone coffee/donut restaurant with drive-thru window service. The existing driveways along Main Street will be retained and reused with minor adjustments while the driveway along Bridge Street will be relocated to the north. Parking for 17 vehicles will be provided.

## Existing Traffic Conditions

Commuter period traffic counts were collected at the intersection of Main Street and Bridge Street as well as each of the three site drives. The counts were conducted between 7-9 AM and 4-6 PM, which reflect the typical peak commuter periods along collector roadways such as Main Street, Bridge Street and High Street which is located opposite Bridge Street to form a four-way intersection.

During the morning commuter period, the peak hour traffic was observed to be between 7:45 and 8:45 AM. Traffic volumes along Main Street were measured at approximately 685 vehicles during this peak hour with $70 \%$ of traffic heading in the eastbound direction. During the evening two hour count period, the peak hour was measured between $4: 15$ and $5: 15 \mathrm{PM}$. The traffic flow during this hour was measured at approximately 760 vehicles with $65 \%$ traveling in the eastbound direction.

Site generated traffic activity for the existing bank was relatively low. During each peak hour, site generated traffic was measured at a combined10 vehicles entering and exiting the property.

Figure 1 presents existing peak hour traffic volumes.

## Proposed Site Access and Circulation

The proposed development plan calls for three driveways, two along Main Street and one along Bridge Street. The exit drive along Main Street will be relocated to the west approximately 50 feet and modified to provide tighter radii and delineated left and right turn lanes. The entrance drive on Main Street will be narrowed to 18 feet and the radii will also be tightened to better control entrance speeds.

The existing Bridge Street drive will be relocated approximately 100 feet to the north to better work with the site layout and topography. The drive will be restricted to a single lane for exit vehicles only.

Parking for the restaurant customers will be provided in the front of the building which faces Main Street, as well as parking to the west of the building.

The restaurant is proposed to provide drive-thru service located behind the building. The drive-thru lane will follow a counter clockwise travel flow and starts opposite the Main Street entrance drive and continues to the rear of the building, providing access to the menu order board and the pick-up window. In total, approximately 12 vehicles will be accommodated in the window service storage lane. The industry standard drive thru window stacking lanes is typically 8 vehicles.

A travel lane or bypass lane will be provided adjacent to the drive-thru window lane to provide passage of vehicles to the Bridge Street exit. The drive-thru lane and bypass lane merge to form a single egress lane at Bridge Street.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation for the existing use and proposed project was based on data provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation publication $9^{\text {th }}$ edition, which serves as the industry standard for forecasting traffic generation. Land use specific rates for daily and peak hour periods were applied to each scenario of the development program to estimate the traffic activity along the project site drives.

In addition to ITE provided data, JSP gathered peak hour trip generation data for seven separate locations of the same land use as proposed. These locations included stand alone restaurants with a drive-thru window. This data yielded the comparable trip generation rates as ITE for all peak hours when average together. Peak hour specific splits for walk-in and drive-thru trips were also identified. During the morning peak $68 \%$ of all trips utilize the drive-thru window. During the evening peak hour $58 \%$ of site traffic used the drive-thru window.

Existing traffic activity at the project site was measured during the peak commuter periods along the adjacent streets. The data collected was significantly lower than the ITE rates as the existing bank hours were limited during the measured peak hours of the adjacent street traffic.

Table 1 summarizes the weekday trip generation calculations for the existing Bank with Drive-in service (ITE Code 912) and the proposed Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Thru Window (ITE Code 937).

Table 1
Trip Generation Comparison Summary

|  |  | Existing Bank | Proposed Restaurant | Trip Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Daily | In | 169 | 983 | 814 |
|  | Out | 169 | 983 | 814 |
|  | Total | 338 | 1966 | 1628 |
| Weekday Morning | In | 16 | 120 | 104 |
|  | Out | 12 | 121 | 109 |
|  | Total | 28 | 241 | 213 |
| Weekday Evening | In | 27 | 52 | 25 |
|  | Out | 28 | 52 | 24 |
|  | Total | 55 | 103 | 48 |

Based on ITE 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition Data. LUC 912: Drive-in Bank, LUC 937: Coffee/Donut shop w Drive-thru.

Convenience uses, such as banks with drive-thru windows, ATMs and fast food restaurants, have been measured to attract a significant amount of pass-by trips. Pass-by trips are trips to specific uses that are drawn from the traffic flow passing a location and are not specific destination trips. Pass-by trips, therefore, are not new or added trips to the adjacent roadway system.

The ITE Handbook database identifies pass-by rates for both bank with drive-thru windows as well as fast food restaurants with drive-thru windows. The bank use as proposed is expected to attract approximately $47 \%$ of pass-by trips, while a fast food restaurant use is expected to attract as much as $50 \%$ of pass-by trips.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

The projected traffic activity associated with the proposed project was distributed to the surrounding roadway network based on the existing travel patterns measured within the area. Based on the proximity and orientation of the access driveways, convenience, and travel time, the site generated traffic was assigned to the access drives serving the site for the respective morning and evening peak hours.

During the morning peak hour the predominant flow of traffic is in the eastbound direction with approximately $64 \%$ of all traffic entering the study area exit along Route 9 eastbound. In the evening, the reverse commute yields $58 \%$ percent of all study area traffic traveling in the westbound direction.

Figure 2 represents the 2015 study area volumes with the proposed developments peak hour volumes in place for both the morning and evening peak hours.

## Traffic Operations

Traffic operations were measured through the application of HCS2000, Highway Capacity Software version 4.1. The application of HCS2000 is accepted by the Massachusetts Highway Department as the standard tool for measuring traffic operating conditions. HCS2000 uses a report-card style of grading intersection levels of service according to the amount of average delay calculated for the critical movements of each intersection approach. The grades range from the highest level of service, LOS A, to levels of service below acceptable operating capacity, LOS F.

The morning and evening peak hour capacity analyses of site driveways are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2
LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY

| Intersection | Peak Hour | 2015 EXISTING |  | 2015 BUILD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| Route 9 at | Weekday AM | 15 sec . | C | 16 sec . | C |
| Bridge Street | Weekday PM | 18 sec . | C | 20 sec . | C |
| Route 9 at | Weekday AM | 9 sec . | A | 9 sec . | A |
| Site Drive In | Weekday PM | 8 sec . | A | 8 sec . | A |
| Route 9 at | Weekday AM | 13 sec . | B | 13 sec . | B |
| Site Drive Out | Weekday PM | 11 sec . | B | 13 sec . | B |
| Bridge Street at | Weekday AM | 7 sec . | A | 9 sec . | A |
| Site Drive | Weekday PM | 7 sec . | A | 9 sec . | A |

Note: Delays represent critical movement approach delay conditions

## Summary of Findings

The proposed project is located along Bridge Street in Haydenville and consists of demolition of an existing single story branch bank with drive-thru teller windows and walk in ATM service. The proposed use includes a coffee/donut restaurant with drive-thru window service. The project will be served by three driveways and onsite parking for 17 vehicles will be provided. The following summarizes findings of the traffic impact assessment.

- The proposed site plan calls for maintaining two curb cuts along Main Street and one along Bridge Street. The Main Street drives will include one entrance and one exit drive, while the Bridge Street drive will be restricted to exit only.
- The project is expected to generate an increase in vehicle activity throughout the day. During the morning peak hour when site traffic is expected to be greatest, the site will generate approximately 240 total vehicle trips (entering and exiting). Traffic levels throughout the day will be significantly less, including evening peak hour volumes which will total approximately 100 vehicle trips.
- Approximately $62 \%$ of the site generated traffic is expected to utilize the drive-thru window. This estimate is based on field measured data at a number of similar sites within Western Massachusetts.
- Measured sample data for similar convenience based land uses as the proposed indicate that as much as $50 \%$ of the site generated trips are pass-by trips, which are trips drawn from traffic already traveling along the adjacent roadway.
- Calculated traffic operations at the proposed site driveway intersections with Main Street and Bridge Street are within acceptable service levels under the existing traffic demands.
- The proposed redevelopment to include traffic forecasts associated with the coffee/donut shop will not introduce significant delays or impacts to traffic conditions along the adjacent Streets or along the three site drive locations.

Sincerely,


Jeffrey S. Pechulis
JSP Land Development
Attachments:
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Traffic Counts
Trip Generation Worksheet
Capacity Analysis Worksheets



# Turning Movement Count Summary 

Bridge St at Route 9
PAGE: 1
Site Code : Williamsburg
N-S Street : Bridge St
FILE: Rt9BridgeAM
E-W Street: Route 9
Weather : overcast
Movements by : Primary
DATE: 7/15/15

| Time Begin | Truck | From LT | East <br> THRU | RT | Truck | From LT | West THRU | RT | Truck | From LT | South <br> THRU | RT | Truck | From LT | North THRU | RT | Vehicle Total | Truck Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 137 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 87 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 153 | 2 |
| 7:30 AM | 2 | 2 | 42 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 126 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 201 | 4 |
| 7:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 37 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 138 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 220 | 3 |
| HR TOTAL | 3 | 9 | 142 | 9 | 4 | 21 | 437 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 25 | 8 | 17 | 711 | 9 |
| 8:00 AM | 4 | 2 | 53 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 121 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 215 | 5 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 52 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 207 | 3 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 208 | 2 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 46 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 190 | 2 |
| HR TOTAL | 5 | 6 | 201 | 15 | 7 | 32 | 462 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 26 | 820 | 12 |


| DAY TOTAL | 8 | 15 | 343 | 24 | 11 | 53 | 899 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 17 | 29 | 1 | 46 | 23 | 43 | 1,531 | 21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## PEAK PERIOD ANALYSIS FOR THE PERIOD 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM

| DIRECTION | START | PEAK HOUR | VOLUMES.. |  |  |  | Total | PERCENTS........ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM | PEAK HOUR | FACTOR | Truck | Left | Thru | Right |  | Truck | Left | Thru | Right |
| East | 8:00 AM | 0.89 | 5 | 6 | 201 | 15 | 222 | 2 | 3 | 91 | 7 |
| West | 7:45 AM | 0.90 | 7 | 28 | 496 | 4 | 528 | 1 | 5 | 94 | 1 |
| South | 7:00 AM | 0.68 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 29 | 3 | 24 | 28 | 48 |
| North | 7:45 AM | 0.72 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 25 | 66 | 0 | 36 | 26 | 38 |

Entire Intersection

| East $\quad 7: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0.87 | 6 | 6 | 192 | 19 | 217 | 3 | 3 | 88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 0.90 | 7 | 28 | 496 | 4 | 528 | 1 | 5 | 94 |
| South | 0.72 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 15 | 31 |
| North | 0.72 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 25 | 66 | 0 | 36 | 26 |
| Intersection | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Adjustment Factor: | 0.92 |  | Seasonally Adjusted Volumes |  |  |  | (From MassHighway Factors) |  |  |
|  |  | Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |  |  |  |
| East 7:45 AM |  | 6 | 6 | 177 | 17 | 200 |  |  |  |
| West |  | 6 | 26 | 456 | 4 | 486 |  |  |  |
| South |  | 0 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 24 |  |  |  |
| North |  | 0 | 22 | 16 | 23 | 61 |  |  |  |
| Forecast Adjustment Factor: | 1.00 | Annual growth rate: | 1.0\% |  | Foreca | years: | 0 |  |  |


| East | $7: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| West |  |
| South |  |
| North |  |


| 0 | Year Forecast Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |
| 6 | 6 | 177 | 17 | 200 |
| 6 | 26 | 456 | 4 | 486 |
| 0 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 24 |
| 0 | 22 | 16 | 23 | 61 |

# Turning Movement Count Summary 

Bridge St at Route 9
PAGE: 1
Site Code : Williamsburg
FILE: Rt9BridgePM
E-W Street : Route 9
Weather : overcast
Movements by : Primary
DATE: 7/14/15

| Time Begin | Truck | From LT | East <br> THRU | RT | Truck | From LT | West THRU | RT | Truck | From LT | South <br> THRU | RT | Truck | From LT | North THRU | RT | Vehicle <br> Total | Truck Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin | Fruck | LT |  | R | ruck |  |  | R | Truck |  |  | R | Truck | LT |  | R | Total | Total |


| 4:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 166 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 103 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 223 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 15 | 125 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 248 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 126 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 239 | 2 |
| HR TOTAL | 1 | 30 | 438 | 20 | 2 | 24 | 265 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 33 | 876 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 214 | 2 |
| 5:15 PM | 2 | 4 | 117 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 63 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 213 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 204 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 2 | 126 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 237 | 1 |
| HR TOTAL | 3 | 12 | 493 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 248 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 868 | 5 |


| DAY TOTAL | 4 | 42 | 931 | 45 | 3 | 40 | 513 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 2 | 33 | 16 | 41 | 1,744 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## PEAK PERIOD ANALYSIS FOR THE PERIOD 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM

| DIRECTION | START | PEAK HOUR | VOLUMES.. |  |  |  |  | .......PERCENTS....... |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM | PEAK HOUR | FACTOR | Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Truck | Left | Thru | Right |
| East | 4:30 PM | 0.95 | 2 | 26 | 495 | 26 | 547 | 0 | 5 | 90 | 5 |
| West | 4:30 PM | 0.87 | 3 | 27 | 258 | 11 | 296 | 1 | 9 | 87 | 4 |
| South | 4:15 PM | 0.71 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 43 | 24 | 32 |
| North | 4:15 PM | 0.61 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 27 | 54 | 4 | 33 | 17 | 50 |

Entire Intersection

| East | $4: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0.92 | 0 | 29 | 481 | 23 | 533 | 0 | 5 | 90 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| West | 0.87 | 3 | 27 | 261 | 7 | 295 | 1 | 9 | 88 | 2 |
| South | 0.71 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 43 | 24 | 32 |
| North | 0.61 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 27 | 54 | 4 | 33 | 17 | 50 |


| Seasonal Adjustment Factor: | 0.92 |  | Seasonally Adjusted Volumes |  |  |  | (From MassHighway Factors) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |  |
| East $\quad 4: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |  | 0 | 27 | 443 | 21 | 490 |  |
| West |  | 3 | 25 | 240 | 6 | 271 |  |
| South |  | 0 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 34 |  |
| North |  | 2 | 17 | 8 | 25 | 50 |  |
| Forecast Adjustment Factor: | 1.00 | Annual growth rate: | 1.0\% |  | Foreca | years: | 0 |


| East | 4:15 PM |
| :--- | :--- |
| West |  |
| South |  |
| North |  |


|  | Year Forecast Volumes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |  |
| 0 | 27 | 443 | 21 | 490 |  |
| 3 | 25 | 240 | 6 | 271 |  |
| 0 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 34 |  |
| 2 | 17 | 8 | 25 | 50 |  |

# Turning Movement Count Summary 

Site at Route 9
PAGE: 1
Site Code : Williamsburg
FILE: Rt9SiteAM
E-W Street : Route 9
Weather : overcast
Movements by : Primary
DATE: 7/15/15

| Time |  | From | East | RT |  | From | West | RT |  | From | South |  |  | From | North | RT | Vehicle | Truck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin | Truck | LT | THRU | RT | Truck | LT | THRU | RT | Truck | LT | THRU | RT | Truck | LT |  | RT | Total | Total |


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HR TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| HR TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { DAY TOTAL } & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0\end{array}$

## PEAK PERIOD ANALYSIS FOR THE PERIOD 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM

| DIRECTION | START | PEAK HOUR |  |  | UMES | - |  |  | PERC | NTS... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM | PEAK HOUR | FACTOR | Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Truck | Left | Thru | Right |
| East | 8:00 AM | 0.25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 8:00 AM | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| South | 8:00 AM | 0.75 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 |
| North | 7:00 AM | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Entire Intersection

| East 8:00 AM | 0.25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South | 0.75 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| North | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intersection | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Adjustment Factor: | 0.92 |  | Seasonally Adjusted Volumes |  |  |  | (From MassHighway Factors) |  |  |
|  |  | Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |  |  |  |
| East 8:00 AM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| West |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| South |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| North |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Forecast Adjustment Factor: | 1.00 | Annual growth rate: | 1.0\% |  | Foreca | years: | 0 |  |  |


| East | 8:00 AM |
| :--- | :--- |
| West |  |
| South |  |
| North |  |


| 0 | Year Forecast Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Turning Movement Count Summary

Bridge St at Route 9
PAGE: 1
Site Code : Williamsburg
N-S Street : Bridge St
FILE: Route9SitePM

Movements by : Primary
DATE: 7/14/15


| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| HR TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HR TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

DAY TOTAL
02
00
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0\end{array}$
4
05
0
0
0
0
160

## PEAK PERIOD ANALYSIS FOR THE PERIOD 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM

| DIRECTION | START | PEAK HOUR | .VOLUMES.. |  |  |  |  | PERCENTS....... |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM | PEAK HOUR | FACTOR | Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total | Truck | Left | Thru | Right |
| East | 4:15 PM | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 4:15 PM | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| South | 4:00 PM | 0.63 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 |
| North | 4:00 PM | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Entire Intersection

| East $\quad$ 4:15 PM | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South | 0.63 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| North | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intersection | 0.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Adjustment Factor: | 0.92 |  | Seasonally Adjusted Volumes |  |  |  | (From MassHighway Factors) |  |  |
|  |  | Truck | Left | Thru | Right Total |  |  |  |  |
| East $\quad$ 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| West |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| South |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| North |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Forecast Adjustment Factor: | 1.00 | Annual growth rate: | 1.0\% |  | Forecast years: |  | 0 |  |  |


| East | 4:15 PM |
| :--- | :--- |
| West |  |
| South |  |
| North |  |


| 0 | Year Forecast Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck | Left | Thru | Right | Total |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Project Name: | Williamsburg Redevelopment | Address: | 142 Main Street |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Existing Building size: | 2.28 ksf |  | Williamsburg (Haydenville), MA |
| Proposed Building size: | 2.4 ksf |  |  |

Based on ITE Trip Generation 9th Edition

ITE LUC 912: Drive-In Bank
Trips per 1000 sf

|  | Ave. Rate | Trip Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weekday | 148.15 | 338 |
| AM PH of Street | 12.08 | 28 |
| PM PH of Street | 24.3 | 55 |
| AM PH of Store | 17.57 | 40 |
| PM PH of Store | 26.69 | 61 |
| Saturday | 86.32 | 197 |
| Sat PH of Store | 26.31 | 60 |
| Sunday | 31.9 | 73 |
| Sun PH of Store | 4.78 | 11 |

ITE LUC 937: Coffe/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window
Trips per 1000 sf

|  | Ave. Rate | Trip Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weekday | 818.58 | 1,965 |
| AM PH of Street | 100.58 | 241 |
| PM PH of Street | 42.8 | 103 |
| AM PH of Store | 101.4 | 243 |
| PM PH of Store | 36.16 | 87 |
| Saturday |  | 0 |
| Sat PH of Store | 84.52 | 203 |
| Sunday |  | 0 |
| Sun PH of Store |  | 0 |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY
General Information

| Analyst | $J S P$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 North/South Street: Bridge Street
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 26 | 456 | 4 | 6 | 177 | 17 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 27 | 480 | 4 | 6 | 186 | 17 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 1 | -- | -- | 3 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 7 | 13 | 22 | 16 | 23 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 4 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 16 | 24 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, v (vph) | 27 | 6 |  | 24 |  |  | 63 |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) | 1375 | 1074 |  | 423 |  |  | 418 |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.15 |  |
| Queue length (95\%) | 0.06 | 0.02 |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.53 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.4 |  | 14.0 |  |  | 15.1 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 14.0 |  |  | 15.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | B |  |  | C |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY
General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment

| East/West Street: Route 9 | North/South Street: Bridge Street |
| :--- | :--- |

Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 240 | 6 | 27 | 443 | 21 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 26 | 258 | 6 | 29 | 476 | 22 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 8 | 11 | 17 | 8 | 25 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 16 | 8 | 11 | 18 | 8 | 26 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, v (vph) | 26 | 29 |  | 35 |  |  | 52 |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) | 1076 | 1306 |  | 321 |  |  | 360 |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.02 | 0.02 |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| Queue length (95\%) | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.37 |  |  | 0.50 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.4 | 7.8 |  | 17.6 |  |  | 16.7 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 17.6 |  |  | 16.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY
General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Build |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment

| East/West Street: Route 9 | North/South Street: Bridge Street |
| :--- | :--- |

Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 27 | 437 | 5 | 6 | 192 | 17 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 28 | 460 | 5 | 6 | 202 | 17 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 1 | -- | -- | 3 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 30 | 12 | 73 | 22 | 16 | 28 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 31 | 12 | 76 | 23 | 16 | 29 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, v (vph) | 28 | 6 |  | 119 |  |  | 68 |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) | 1356 | 1091 |  | 444 |  |  | 397 |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.27 |  |  | 0.17 |  |
| Queue length (95\%) | 0.06 | 0.02 |  | 1.09 |  |  | 0.62 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 8.3 |  | 16.1 |  |  | 15.9 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 16.1 |  |  | 15.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Build |

## Site Information

| Intersection | Route9 at Bridge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment

| East/West Street: Route 9 | North/South Street: Bridge Street |
| :--- | :--- |

Intersection Orientation: East-West
Study Period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 233 | 7 | 27 | 456 | 21 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 26 | 250 | 7 | 29 | 490 | 22 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 38 | 10 | 24 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 40 | 10 | 25 | 18 | 8 | 29 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, v (vph) | 26 | 29 |  | 75 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) | 1064 | 1314 |  | 317 |  |  | 357 |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.02 | 0.02 |  | 0.24 |  |  | 0.15 |  |
| Queue length (95\%) | 0.08 | 0.07 |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.54 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 8.5 | 7.8 |  | 19.9 |  |  | 16.9 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  | 19.9 |  |  | 16.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  | C |  |  | C |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West

Site Information

| Intersection | Route 9 Site In |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 484 | 6 | 1 | 203 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 537 | 6 | 1 | 225 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | $L T$ |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{vph})$ |  | 1036 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 267 | 3 | 2 | 481 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 296 | 3 | 2 | 534 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | LT |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L T$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{vph})$ |  | 1274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Build | Site Information


| Intersection | Route 9 Site In |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 446 | 76 | 45 | 205 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 495 | 84 | 50 | 227 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | LT |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L T$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{vph})$ |  | 1005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY
General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Build | Site Information


| Intersection | Route 9 Site In |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 259 | 17 | 35 | 486 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 287 | 18 | 38 | 540 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | TR | LT |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | $L T$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{vph})$ |  | 1267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak | Site Information


| Intersection | Route 9 Site Out |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 537 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | T |  |  | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) |  |  |  | 475 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West

Site Information

| Intersection | Route 9 Site Out |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 481 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 534 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | T |  |  | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LR |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{vph})$ |  |  |  | 605 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Build | Site Information


| Intersection | Route 9 Site Out |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment
East/West Street: Route 9 $\quad$ North/South Street: Site
Intersection Orientation: East-West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 446 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 495 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | T |  |  | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 8 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) |  |  |  | 516 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  |  |  | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | JSP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |
| Date Performed | $7 / 20 / 2015$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Build |

Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment East/West Street: Route 9
Intersection Orientation: East-West

Site Information

| Intersection | Route 9 Site Out |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Local |
| Analysis Year | 2015 |
|  |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | T |  |  | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h) | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proportion of heavy vehicles, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared approach |  | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized? |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |

Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service

| Approach | EB | WB | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, v (vph) |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (vph) |  |  |  | 460 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c ratio |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue length (95\%) |  |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  |  |  | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approach delay (s/veh) | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |
| Analyst | $J S P$ |  | Intersection |  | Bridge at Site |  |
| Agency/Co. | JSP |  | Jurisdiction |  | Local |  |
| Date Performed | 7/20/2 |  | Analysis Year |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: Site |  |  | North/South Street: Bridge St |  |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South |  |  | Study Period (hrs): 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street Movement | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | NB | SB | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | $L T$ |  |  |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |
| $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{vph})$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{m})(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ queue length | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | $J S P$ |  | Intersection |  |  | Bridge at Site |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | $J S P$ |  | Jurisdiction |  |  | Local |  |  |
| Date Performed | 7/20/2 |  | Analysis Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM Peak Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: Site |  |  | North/South Street: Bridge St |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South Study Period (hrs): 1.00 <br> Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume | 0 | 26 | 0 |  | 0 | 27 |  | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR | 0 | 34 | 0 |  | 0 | 36 |  | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- |  | 0 | -- |  | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Minor Street | Westbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  | 12 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 89 | 0 |  | 3 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 118 | 0 |  | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Flared Approach | N |  |  |  | $N$ |  |  |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | NB | SB | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| v (vph) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 122 |  |
| C (m) (vph) | 1588 |  |  |  |  |  | 942 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.13 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | A |  |
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| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | $J S P$ |  | Intersection |  |  | Bridge at Site |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | $J S P$ |  | Jurisdiction |  |  | Local |  |  |
| Date Performed | 7/20/2 |  | Analysis Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM Peak Build |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description Williamsburg Redevelopment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: Site |  |  | North/South Street: Bridge St |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South Study Period (hrs): 1.00 <br> Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume | 0 | 35 | 0 |  | 0 | 42 |  | 0 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR | 0 | 46 | 0 |  | 0 | 56 |  | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | -- | -- |  | 0 | -- |  | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Minor Street | Westbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  | 12 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 37 | 0 |  | 2 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 49 | 0 |  | 2 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Flared Approach | N |  |  |  | $N$ |  |  |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | $L R$ |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | NB | SB | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| v (vph) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{m})(\mathrm{vph})$ | 1562 |  |  |  |  |  | 905 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay | -- | -- |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  | A |  |  |
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